

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/261,081	SOOHOO, KENNETH
	Examiner Motilewa Good-Johnson	Art Unit 2677

All Participants:

(1) Motilewa Good-Johnson.

Status of Application: Board of Appeals Decision

(3) _____.

(2) George Willham.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 October 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

30-38, 67 and 68

Prior art documents discussed:

Nishida U.S Patent Number 6,208,319 and Kumazaki, U.S. Patent 5,555,360

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative agreed to cancel claims affirmed by Board of Appeals

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

AMR A. AWAD

PRIMARY EXAMINER



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)